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Office of Response & Restoration Overview
OR&R Organization, Mission, Mandates

Mission:
To protect and restore ocean and coastal resources from the impacts of oil, chemicals, marine debris, and other hazards. We provide expert leadership, training, and time-critical services that benefit the environment, public, and economy.

Mandates:
Clean Water Act / Oil Pollution Act ‘90, Superfund / CERCLA, Marine Debris Act
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NOAA’s Mandate & Role During Spills

Spill-Specific Roles:
- Scientific Support Coordinator (SSC), Natural Resource Trustee (including NRDA)

Important NOAA Supporting Roles:
- Weather Forecasting
- Fisheries Management
- Protected/Endangered Species
- Satellite Interpretation
- Emergency Hazard to Nav Detection
- Marine and Aviation Operations, UAS/UAV
- Hydrographic Services

Key SSC Services:
- Trajectory Analysis, Overflights, Resources at Risk, Shoreline Cleanup Assessment Teams, Science Coordination, Information/Data Management including ERMA/COP
Scientific Support Coordinator (SSC) Locations and associated U.S. Coast Guard Districts
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Questions Guiding NOAA's Oil Spill Science Recommendations

1. What happened?

2. Where could it go?

3. What could it affect?

4. What harm could it cause?

5. What can be done to help?
Coordination with the Scientific Community
A role for NOAA from SSC Origins...

- “The [NOAA] SOR team also was requested to aid the Coast Guard by providing an interface between the On-Scene Coordinator and the scientific community involved in research activities concerning the oil spill.”
  - Disaster Survey Report 77-1

- “The DOC/NOAA response in providing scientific investigations was invaluable to the OSC during the actual response efforts and in providing public information.” ...
  “Each OSC should be assigned a scientific advisor ... for the duration of the response action to interface with the scientific community on scene...
  - The Argo Merchant Oil Spill On-Scene Coordinator’s Report 1977

- Scientific Support Coordinator incorporated in the 1980 NCP. It now states: Scientific Support Coordinators (SSCs) may be designated by the OSC ... as the principal advisors for scientific issues, communication with the scientific community, and coordination of requests for assistance from state and federal agencies regarding scientific studies. The SSC strives for a consensus on scientific issues affecting the response, but ensures that differing opinions within the community are communicated to the OSC...
  - NCP Special Teams section, 40 CFR 300.145
External coordination needs growing...
Oil Spill science publications growing at 4x overall literature

> ($1+ billion in research grants through 2040)
A challenging environment

• In confirmation testimony for Commandant, when asked about lessons learned from his experience in the Deepwater Horizon (DWH) incident that he would apply in another major disaster, ADM Paul Zukunft replied:

  “biggest challenge during the Gulf oil spill is whole of science.”

• Much more external scientific engagement today:
  – For example, GOMRI: >1,000 scientists, 1,000 graduate students, 255 postdoctoral students, 42 states, 278 Academic institutions, 18 countries, 825 peer reviewed publications.

• Several other marine “black swan” events have also demanded substantial science engagement: Fukushima Daiichi nuclear plant, Indian Ocean Tsunami, Prestige Oil Spill
Engaged Science Community

• Much more external scientific engagement today:
  – For example, GOMRI: >1,000 scientists, 1,000 graduate students, 255 postdoctoral students, 42 states, 278 Academic institutions, 18 countries, 825 journal publications.
  – NOAA engaged in OR&R Webinars with GOMRI Research, Outreach, and SeaGrant Outreach programs
  – Planned NOAA 2018 workshop on Academic Coordination

GoMOSES Conference 2017 (annual): 1,084 attendees. Response themed plenary
OR&R Disaster Preparedness and Response
Disaster Preparedness Program

• Gulf of Mexico Disaster Response Center (DRC)
  – Hub for OR&R / NOS preparedness in Mobile, AL
  – Host to regional functions (Training, Exercises, USCG COOP)

• Hardened and redundant infrastructure

• Expansion from facility (DRC) to Program (DPP)

• Performance during Harvey, Irma, Maria and continuing
Hurricane Roles Aug-Sep Hurricanes

• Scientific Support Coordinators
  – Support to Oil/Hazardous Substance mission under disaster response (ESF-10)
  – Target classification from aerial remote sensing
  – Data sharing arrangements with EPA, USCG
  – Environmental consultations / Best Management Practices

• Marine Debris
  – Coordination with Debris Task Forces (ESF-3) and States

• Federal Emergency Management Agency
  – Representation of National Ocean Service at FEMA National Response Coordination Center
Harvey: Data Sharing with EPA Response Manager
BMP / Consultation Support

POST-HARVEY POLLUTION RESPONSE
Best Management Practices (BMPs) for the protection of sensitive Ecological & Cultural Resources
EFS-10 Environmental Unit (EU)

All operations shall be conducted with the overarching philosophy of “do no more harm than good.” The following BMPs are provided for the protection of Federal & State protected species and other sensitive resources and reflect the “Natural Disaster Orphan Container Recovery in Sensitive Coastal Habitats of Texas” developed by the Natural Disaster Operational Workgroup. This document is meant as a quick reference guide for operations and not as a replacement for more comprehensive DNOW or state documents. **NOTE: In areas where threatened or endangered species or critical habitat exists, refer to the “Environmental Unit Guidance on Threatened/Endangered Species” and coordinate with the ESF-10 ICP for specific BMPs.**

For all Field Operations

**Cultural Resource Protection:**
Texas State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) (Mark Wolfe) 512-463-6100

- For any historic, cultural or native American issues please contact your State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) as listed above or the SF-10 Environmental Unit Leader.
- Native American and historic-era artifacts (e.g. pot shards & arrowheads) must not be collected.
- When activity occurs within 250 meters of a sensitive cultural resource as indicated by EU, a qualified archaeologist or other qualified historic preservation professional must be present to monitor the work.
- Any activities being undertaken at, on, or near any know historic-era structure, site, vessel or other should first be reported to the SHPO representative identified above or contact the EUL for assistance.

**Natural Resource Protection:**
- Do not disturb wildlife or habitat (including foraging or nesting areas).
- Perform site visits & work from waterway, paved surfaces or existing roadways whenever possible to minimize impacts to sensitive habitats.

Set up your work areas and equipment which are least likely to disturb soils, vegetation and water.
NOAA National Geodetic Survey Remote Sensing
Multiple remote sensing sources:
NGS, CAP, NCIB
Irma: Classifications from Remote Sensing

- Complement/Speed field operations, prioritization
Classification in lower Florida Keys
Vessels aground St. Mary’s, Georgia
OR&R Remote Sensing Studies

Deepwater Horizon NRDA Lessons Learned and Operational Tools Development: BSEE – NOAA Interagency Agreement
Response and NRDA processed image collections:

- 89 days of satellite SAR based oiling extents
- Over 35 days of aerial SLAR oiling extents
- 25 days of MODIS visible/thermal
- 9 days of Landsat MSS
- 1 – 3 days of AVIRIS hyperspectral
- Daily (x2) Ocean Imaging aerial DMSC (source)
- Up to 150+ daily overflights (Fixed, VTOL, Blimp)

And almost no coordinated ground truth...
Remote Sensing Agreement Project

• **Purpose:** Better understanding of remote sensing utility to Response and Damage Assessment
  – Understand the capabilities of remote sensing technologies to assess the extent and magnitude (thickness) of surface oiling
  – Detail the best use of remote sensing tools and data for open water and shoreline oiling assessment in support of *response forecasting, operations, resource exposure, pathway determination and identification of potential injuries*

Funding & Partners: BSEE – NOAA IA (funded through March 2018)
Federal: BSEE, NOAA, NASA, USGS*, USEPA;
  Industry: Abt Consulting*, Ocean Imaging*, Water Mapping*, Fototerra,
  MDA Canada (RadarSat-2), MSRC (GOM)
Academic Partners: UNT*, USF*

* Federal and Industry participants that were part of DWH NRDA*
DWH Lessons Learned Studies

• Three Phase Project
  – **Phase 1**: Controlled Tank Testing at the BSEE Ohmsett facility in Leonardo, NJ
  – **Phase 2**: Open Water Testing at an ongoing leaking well field in the Gulf of Mexico
  – **Phase 3**: Development of operational tools for response and damage assessment with NOAA NESDIS
  – Topic for ITAC 2018?

– A few teaser slides...

*Each Project Phase is related and informs but is not dependent on the other*
**Sensors and Platforms**

- **Phase 1: Sensor and platform design**
  - Evaluate sensor platforms used in DWH NRDA
  - Evaluate currently available platforms and sensors typically utilized for Federal response support
  - Solicit participation of new/emerging tools from industry and research programs
  - Collect imagery for multiple altitudes and resolutions within +/- 30 minutes of in situ measurements
  - Determine effective platforms for oil extent and thickness characterization
  - Sensing done on 400 gallons of oil in Ohmsett tank, weathered 4 days with waves
Sensor Platforms

• Aerial (manned/unmanned) Platforms
  – Fixed wing: Multi-Sensor, dedicated aircraft/ MEDUSA (Fototerra)
  – Helicopter: UV, RGB, IR/Thermal/ TRACS (Ocean Imaging)
  – UAS: RGB, un-calibrated Thermal/FLIR (WaterMapping)
Sensor Platforms

• Satellite Platforms
  – Radarsat-2 (SAR)
  – TerraSAR-X (SAR)
  – Worldview 2 and Worldview 3 (Visible/NIR)
High confidence, classified TRACS output (right) derived from analysis of TRACS imagery, in situ oil thickness, water content, and available photographs.
Phase 2: Open Water Emulsions Testing

- Repeat capture and characterization in the Gulf of Mexico from OHMSETT
- Target thicker oil using aerial observers to test same test methods
- Coordinate manned/unmanned aerial collections and in situ sampling within +/- 60 minutes of satellite overpass
- Engage NASA UAVSAR aircraft based SAR for GOM experiments (research to operational)
GOM Surface Oiling Examples

Sheen and Gas

Emulsified and thicker oil

Patchy, weathered oil

Thick oil
GoM Open Water Collection: Aug-Nov 2016

AUGUST 2016
ASTER (VNIR) August 15th/11:49 am
WV3 (MSS/PAN) August 15th/11:34 am
Sentinel 1A, (VNIR) August 15th/8:00 pm
Radarsat-2 (SAR) August 16th/7:04 am
Landsat – 8 (MSS) August 16th/11:25 am
Sentinel 2A, (VNIR) August 16th/11:40 am
WV2 (MSS/PAN) August 17th/11:50 am
ASTER (VNIR) August 17th/11:49 am
Fototerra MEDUSA (August 16th)
WM UAS High resolution digital camera, Calibrated FLIR TIR
On Wings of Care airborne spotter plane (August 15th - 17th)
On water sampling (3 days, all day)
Contract sampling boat

NOVEMBER 2016
Radarsat-2 (15th and 17th, 5:56 am/5:48 pm)
ALOS-2 (SAR) (15th/noon)
Landsat 8 (17th/10:26 am)
NASA UAVSAR (15th and 17th)
Fototerra MEDUSA (15th and 17th)
Ocean Imaging TRACS (15th and 17th)
WM UAS: High resolution digital camera, FLIR TIR (on demand)
On Wings of Care airborne spotter plane (15th - 17th)
On water sampling (4 days, all day)
Contract sampling boat
November 2016 GOM Imagery Collections

UAVSAR

Radarsat-2

TRACS RGB

TRACS NIR

UAS NIR

UAS RGB
Laboratory and Field Thickness Calibration

Dip Plates  Sorbent Pads  WM Oil Spill Trap sampler
Significant variability in results
• In method and across methods
• Further testing required
DWH Lessons Learned Studies

**Review of Deliverables:**

- Phase 1 and Phase 2 studies will identify the utility and limits to the use of typically available remote sensing sensors and platforms (*Validation of DWH*).
- End-of-Phase reports will document utility and enable BSEE and NOAA OR&R to more effectively use available remote sensing data and products.
- Development of operational tools and delivery of products to the ERMA® COP and other GIS systems to improve future response and assessment efforts.
DWH Lessons Learned Studies

**Project Next Steps:**

- Compile thickness, chemistry and observational data/products into DIVER and ERMA (ongoing)
- Compare sensor classification successes and limitations to use
- Develop recommendations on practical application for response and assessment
- Continue assessment of near-real time delivery options for operational tools
Questions?

Scott Lundgren, Emergency Response Division Chief
NOAA National Ocean Service
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